

**REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BY INVESTIGATING OFFICER/BOARD OF OFFICERS**

For use of this form, see AR 15-6; the proponent agency is OTJAG.

*IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED IN FILLING OUT ANY PORTION OF THIS FORM, ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS*

**SECTION I - APPOINTMENT**

Appointed by COLONEL MARK E. COERS, VICE CHIEF OF STAFF, INARNG  
 (Appointing authority)

on 13JANUARY2010 (Attach inclosure 1: Letter of appointment or summary of oral appointment data.) (See para 3-15, AR 15-6.)  
 (Date)

**SECTION II - SESSIONS**

The (investigation) (board) commenced at \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Place) (Time)

on \_\_\_\_\_ (If a formal board met for more than one session, check here . Indicate in an inclosure the time each session began and ended, the place, persons present and absent, and explanation of absences, if any.) The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were present: (After each name, indicate capacity, e.g., President, Recorder, Member, Legal Advisor.)

**\*\*INFORMAL INVESTIGATION NO BOARDS HELD\*\***

The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were absent: (Include brief explanation of each absence.) (See paras 5-2 and 5-8a, AR 15-6.)

The (investigating officer) (board) finished gathering/hearing evidence at \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Time) (Date)

and completed findings and recommendations at \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Time) (Date)

**SECTION III - CHECKLIST FOR PROCEEDINGS**

A. COMPLETE IN ALL CASES		YES	NO <sup>1/</sup>	NA <sup>2/</sup>
1	Inclosures (para 3-15, AR 15-6)			
	Are the following inclosed and numbered consecutively with Roman numerals: (Attached in order listed)			
	a. The letter of appointment or a summary of oral appointment data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Copy of notice to respondent, if any? (See item 9, below)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Other correspondence with respondent or counsel, if any?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. All other written communications to or from the appointing authority?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	e. Privacy Act Statements (Certificate, if statement provided orally)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	f. Explanation by the investigating officer or board of any unusual delays, difficulties, irregularities, or other problems encountered (e.g., absence of material witnesses)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	g. Information as to sessions of a formal board not included on page 1 of this report?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	h. Any other significant papers (other than evidence) relating to administrative aspects of the investigation or board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FOOTNOTES: <sup>1/</sup> Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet.

<sup>2/</sup> Use of the N/A column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation or board.

	YES	NO <sup>1/</sup>	NA <sup>2/</sup>
2 Exhibits ( <i>para 3-16, AR 15-6</i> )			
a. Are all items offered ( <i>whether or not received</i> ) or considered as evidence individually numbered or lettered as exhibits and attached to this report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Is an index of all exhibits offered to or considered by investigating officer or board attached before the first exhibit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Has the testimony/statement of each witness been recorded verbatim or been reduced to written form and attached as an exhibit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Are copies, descriptions, or depictions ( <i>if substituted for real or documentary evidence</i> ) properly authenticated and is the location of the original evidence indicated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Are descriptions or diagrams included of locations visited by the investigating officer or board ( <i>para 3-6b, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Is each written stipulation attached as an exhibit and is each oral stipulation either reduced to writing and made an exhibit or recorded in a verbatim record?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. If official notice of any matter was taken over the objection of a respondent or counsel, is a statement of the matter of which official notice was taken attached as an exhibit ( <i>para 3-16d, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3 Was a quorum present when the board voted on findings and recommendations ( <i>paras 4-1 and 5-2b, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>B. COMPLETE ONLY FOR FORMAL BOARD PROCEEDINGS (<i>Chapter 5, AR 15-6</i>)</b>			
4 At the initial session, did the recorder read, or determine that all participants had read, the letter of appointment ( <i>para 5-3b, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5 Was a quorum present at every session of the board ( <i>para 5-2b, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6 Was each absence of any member properly excused ( <i>para 5-2a, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7 Were members, witnesses, reporter, and interpreter sworn, if required ( <i>para 3-1, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8 If any members who voted on findings or recommendations were not present when the board received some evidence, does the inclosure describe how they familiarized themselves with that evidence ( <i>para 5-2d, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>C. COMPLETE ONLY IF RESPONDENT WAS DESIGNATED (<i>Section II, Chapter 5, AR 15-6</i>)</b>			
9 Notice to respondents ( <i>para 5-5, AR 15-6</i> ):			
a. Is the method and date of delivery to the respondent indicated on each letter of notification?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Was the date of delivery at least five working days prior to the first session of the board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Does each letter of notification indicate —	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(1) the date, hour, and place of the first session of the board concerning that respondent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) the matter to be investigated, including specific allegations against the respondent, if any?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) the respondent's rights with regard to counsel?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) the name and address of each witness expected to be called by the recorder?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(5) the respondent's rights to be present, present evidence, and call witnesses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Was the respondent provided a copy of all unclassified documents in the case file?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. If there were relevant classified materials, were the respondent and his counsel given access and an opportunity to examine them?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10 If any respondent was designated after the proceedings began ( <i>or otherwise was absent during part of the proceedings</i> ):			
a. Was he properly notified ( <i>para 5-5, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Was record of proceedings and evidence received in his absence made available for examination by him and his counsel ( <i>para 5-4c, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11 Counsel ( <i>para 5-6, AR 15-6</i> ):			
a. Was each respondent represented by counsel?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name and business address of counsel:			
( <i>If counsel is a lawyer, check here <input type="checkbox"/> </i> )			
b. Was respondent's counsel present at all open sessions of the board relating to that respondent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. If military counsel was requested but not made available, is a copy ( <i>or, if oral, a summary</i> ) of the request and the action taken on it included in the report ( <i>para 5-6b, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12 If the respondent challenged the legal advisor or any voting member for lack of impartiality ( <i>para 5-7, AR 15-6</i> ):			
a. Was the challenge properly denied and by the appropriate officer?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Did each member successfully challenged cease to participate in the proceedings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13 Was the respondent given an opportunity to ( <i>para 5-8a, AR 15-6</i> ):			
a. Be present with his counsel at all open sessions of the board which deal with any matter which concerns that respondent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Examine and object to the introduction of real and documentary evidence, including written statements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. Object to the testimony of witnesses and cross-examine witnesses other than his own?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. Call witnesses and otherwise introduce evidence?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Testify as a witness?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Make or have his counsel make a final statement or argument ( <i>para 5-9, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14 If requested, did the recorder assist the respondent in obtaining evidence in possession of the Government and in arranging for the presence of witnesses ( <i>para 5-8b, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
15 Are all of the respondent's requests and objections which were denied indicated in the report of proceedings or in an inclosure or exhibit to it ( <i>para 5-11, AR 15-6</i> )?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>FOOTNOTES:</b> <sup>1/</sup> Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet. <sup>2/</sup> Use of the N/A column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation or board.			

**SECTION IV - FINDINGS** (para 3-10, AR 15-6)

The *(investigating officer) (board)* , having carefully considered the evidence, finds:

See attached memo.

**SECTION V - RECOMMENDATIONS** (para 3-11, AR 15-6)

In view of the above findings, the *(investigating officer) (board)* recommends:

See Attached memo.

**SECTION VI - AUTHENTICATION** (para 3-17, AR 15-6)

THIS REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. (If any voting member or the recorder fails to sign here or in Section VII below, indicate the reason in the space where his signature should appear.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Recorder)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Investigating Officer) (President)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Member)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Member)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Member)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Member)

**SECTION VII - MINORITY REPORT** (para 3-13, AR 15-6)

To the extent indicated in Inclosure \_\_\_\_\_, the undersigned do(es) not concur in the findings and recommendations of the board. (In the inclosure, identify by number each finding and/or recommendation in which the dissenting member(s) do(es) not concur. State the reasons for disagreement. Additional/substitute findings and/or recommendations may be included in the inclosure.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Member)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Member)

**SECTION VIII - ACTION BY APPOINTING AUTHORITY** (para 2-3, AR 15-6)

The findings and recommendations of the (investigating officer) (board) are (approved) (disapproved) (approved with following exceptions/substitutions). (If the appointing authority returns the proceedings to the investigating officer or board for further proceedings or corrective action, attach that correspondence (or a summary, if oral) as a numbered inclosure.)

\_\_\_\_\_



AR 15-6 Investigation (b) (6) 18 Feb 2010

EXHIBIT #	Exhibit Name	Exhibit Description
I	Appointment Letter	Memorandum assigning (b) (6) as Investigating Officer for (b) (6) case
II	Cell Voicemail CD & memo's	Recorded evidence that someone had recorded voicemails off of (b) (6) government cell phone w/ an explanation memos (3)
III	(b) (6) Email PST files	Copies of all email available from the exchange server under (b) (6) profile
IV	(b) (6) Email PST files	Copies of all email available from the exchange server under (b) (6) profile
V	(b) (6) Email PST files	Copies of all email available from the exchange server under (b) (6) profile
VI	(b) (6) Email PST files	Copies of all email available from the exchange server under (b) (6) profile
VII	Recorded Interview (b) (6)	Recording of Interview questions with (b) (6)
VIII	Recorded Interview (b) (6)	Recording of Interview questions with (b) (6)
IV	Recorded Interview (b) (6)	Recording of Interview questions with (b) (6)
X	Timeline: (b) (6)	Non-sworn statement of events authored by (b) (6)
XI	Timeline: (b) (6)	Non-sworn statement of events authored by (b) (6)
XII	Timeline: (b) (6)	Non-sworn statement of events authored by (b) (6)
XIII	Prepared Questions (b) (6)	Questions asked by the investigator
XIV	Prepared Questions (b) (6)	Questions asked by the investigator
XV	Prepared Questions (b) (6)	Questions asked by the investigator
XVI	5th amendment Waiver (b) (6)	DA Form 3881 signed by respondent
XVII	5th amendment Waiver (b) (6)	DA Form 3881 signed by respondent
XVIII	Restraining Order	Court Issued restraining order from (b) (6) against (b) (6)
XIX	Technical email from (b) (6)	Detailing the functions of (b) (6) government cell phone.
XX	(b) (6) email	Details the turn in of (b) (6) government cell phone.

MEMORANDUM FOR COL MARK E. COERS, Vice Chief of Staff, JFHQ-IN, 2002 S. Holt Rd, Indianapolis, IN 46241

SUBJECT: AR 15-6 Investigation, (b) (6) and (b) (6)

1. PURPOSE. This memorandum is submitted pursuant to my appointment on 13 January 2010 as investigating officer.

2. BLUF: As demonstrated in the data collected, (b) (6) did not inappropriately access private email nor cell phone voicemails without proper authorization. Further, (b) (6) did not release any information to 3<sup>rd</sup> parties that was collected illegally or outside of the scope of (b) (6) duties. The data collected on (b) (6) did not produce any abuse of (b) (6) government computer nor unauthorized use under the scope of this investigation.

3. BACKGROUND.

a. (b) (6)

b. (b) (6) was/is (b) (6). (b) (6) has a restraining order placed against (b) (6) by (b) (6) (Exhibit XVIII). This too is an adversarial relationship and they have gone to court against each other. During the court case, (b) (6) presented voice recordings from (b) (6) military cell phone (Exhibit II).

c. (b) (6) and (b) (6) both believe that (b) (6) aided (b) (6) in acquiring these voicemails using administrative access.

d. (b) (6) brought this to the IG's attention in December, 2009 by sending a packet of information/allegations (Exhibit II). It was pushed forward for investigation in January of 2010.

e. Exhibits. See attached spreadsheet.

4. FINDINGS.

a. I have interviewed the pertinent individuals in this case: (b) (6) (b) (6) and (b) (6) and have found that (b) (6) had access to (b) (6) voicemails because (b) (6) had provided her with the password. This was confirmed by asking both of them what the password was, and their answers matched perfectly (Exhibits VII&IX).

(b) (6)

b. The timing of the cell phone turn-in was brought into question in relation to the date of the voicemail recordings on (Exhibit II). The cellular voicemails were from previous messages that pre-dated the recording date on the CD (Exhibit II). The file date on the CD (Exhibit II) was merely the date of recording the file on the disc and was not the date of accessing the voicemails according to the data available.

c. The cell phone was not hacked nor compromised as administrators of the cell phones cannot see nor gain access to passwords, only change them to a default password. (b) (6) is not an administrator of the (b) (6) cell phone program. The model of cell phone (b) (6) used has the ability to set a password and (b) (6) set one according to (b) (6) and (b) (6) testimony (Exhibits VII&IX).

d. This investigation appears to be an attempt to exact revenge against an estranged spouse and (b) (6) as the statements and evidence provided show. In this case, there is no hard or soft evidence to prove the allegations.

5. RECOMMENDATION. At this time I recommend that this investigation should be closed as the allegations are unfounded against (b) (6) and (b) (6)

6. Point of Contact. Contact for this memorandum is the undersigned at (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

\\\\\\\\\\\\SIGNED\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

(b) (6)

Investigating Officer

1. Please state your full name and ssn
2. Where do you work? When did you start?
3. Where else have you worked in relation to the National Guard? Dates?
4. Have you received training in Information Assurance? Commonly called the Acceptable Use Policy?
5. Do you recall the last time your AUP training was completed?
6. Your lawyer states that you received the vmail recordings from your (b) (6) government cell phone, can you explain how you received them?
7. (What was the password/code you used to access your (b) (6) government cell phone?)
8. Did you have access to other government assets of his? Computer, etc?
9. Have you ever 'hacked' into a cell phone or government computer system?
10. Have you ever asked anyone else to 'hack' into a government system on your behalf?
11. Have you ever asked someone else to pull data or vmail messages from a government device? Personal device?
12. Have you ever been reprimanded or punished in any way for misuse of Government property?
13. Have you ever used your government computer or cell phone for non-mission purposes?
14. Have you ever deleted, removed, or purged data from your government computer or cell phone to protect yourself from incrimination?
15. Do you know (b) (6)? How long?
16. How would you describe your relationship with (b) (6)?
17. Have you ever asked (b) (6) to collect files from any network source for you?
18. Has (b) (6) ever offered to give you files or voicemails for your use?
19. Has any soldier, civilian, contractor, state employee give you access to (b) (6) government cellular phone?
20. Do you know when or where (b) (6) turned in the cell phone in question?
21. Based on these allegations, is there anything else you wish to state prior to the conclusion of this meeting?
22. Are you willing to provide a sworn statement based on the charges being posed against you?

1. Please state your full name and ssn
2. Where do you work? When did you start?
3. What elevated rights to network assets do you hold?
4. Where else have you worked in relation to the National Guard? Dates?
5. What is your mday function?
6. Have you received training in Information Assurance? Commonly called the Acceptable Use Policy?
7. Do you recall the last time your AUP training was completed?
8. Have you ever accessed files or emails and provided them to anyone (without a formal, approved investigation request)?
9. Have you ever 'hacked' into a cell phone or government computer system?
10. Have you ever asked anyone else to 'hack' into a government system on your behalf?
11. Have you ever asked someone else to pull data or vmail messages from a government device? Personal device?
12. Have you ever been reprimanded or punished in any way for misuse of Government property?
13. Have you ever used your government computer or cell phone for non-mission purposes?
14. Have you ever deleted, removed, or purged data from your government computer or cell phone to protect yourself from incrimination?
15. Do you know (b) (6)? How long?
16. How would you describe your relationship with (b) (6)?
17. Have you ever been asked by (b) (6) to collect files from any network source for her use?
18. Has you ever offered to give (b) (6) files or voicemails for her use?
19. Do you know (b) (6)? How long?
20. How would you describe your interactions with (b) (6) if any?
21. Have you ever accessed (b) (6) email, files, or voicemails on the Guardnet network?
22. Has any soldier, civilian, contractor, state employee, or commercial vendor given you access to (b) (6) government cellular phone or computer?
23. Do you know when or where (b) (6) turned in the cell phone in question?
24. Based on these allegations, is there anything else you wish to state prior to the conclusion of this meeting?
25. Are you willing to provide a sworn statement based on the charges being posed against you?

1. Please state your full name and ssn.
2. Where did you work at (b) (6)? What was the duration of your employment?
3. Why did you end your employment?
4. What is your mday function?
5. Where do you work now?
6. Did you have a government issued cell phone? What was the number?
7. Did you have a passcode or password on this phone? What was it?
8. Did you share this code with your (b) (6)? When?
9. Did you share it with anyone else? Including administrators?
10. Did you ever have to change your passcode/password on your government cell?
11. Were you ever 'locked out' of your government cell phone and had to have your passcode/password reset? If so, when?
12. Did your (b) (6) ever demonstrate that (b) (6) had recordings or copies of your voicemails?
13. Where do you think your (b) (6) acquired these recordings? Why do you think that?
14. What date did you turn in your government cell phone? Where and to whom?
15. Do you have the turn in document?
16. Have you received training in Information Assurance? Commonly called the Acceptable Use Policy?
17. Do you recall the last time your AUP training was completed?
18. Did you share your Yahoo email password with your (b) (6)? When?
19. How do you think your (b) (6) obtained the voicemails in question?
20. Based on these allegations, is there anything else you wish to state prior to the conclusion of this meeting?
21. Are you willing to provide a sworn statement (DA form 2823) based on the charges pending against your (b) (6) and (b) (6)?



The Law Office of Melissa Winkler-York, LLC  
2448 E. Stop 11 Rd.  
Indianapolis, IN 46227

(b) (6)



### Explanation of Audio (disc)

- 1.) My name is (b) (6), and I obtained the disc from (b) (6) (see attachment).
- 2.) My Verizon cell phone number is (b) (6) and I made several calls to (b) (6) military issued cell phone (b) (6)
- 3.) (b) (6) turned his cell phone back in to the IT dept. at Atterbury in late October, 2009.
- 4.) The file appears to have been created on 10/27/2009.
- 5.) The file appears to have been duplicated on 11/23/2209.
- 6.) I made several copies of the file on 12/11/2009.
- 7.) When listening to the file, it appears that the phone was in the possession of someone who wanted copies (b) (6) and/or accomplice) of the recordings due to the fact that someone is pushing buttons to move on through the list of messages. The file could have simply been created by someone playing the messages while holding up the phone to a microphone that was attached to a computer.
- 8.) My intent for forwarding this disc on to you is to inform you that a breach of military security may have taken place. Sorry to have bothered you with this, but I thought it was the right thing to do!

Please feel free to contact me at the above listed number with any questions that you may have.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

November 25, 2009

(b) (6)

RE: (b) (6)

Dear (b) (6)

Enclosed please find the requested recording from (b) (6). If you have any questions please feel free to contact my office.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

Attorney at Law

MWY:mh  
Encl

(b) (6)

**(b) (6) recollection of events regarding (b) (6)**

February 19, 2008 I started working on Title 10 orders at (b) (6). (b) (6) was a current employer at DPW.

September 28, 2008 Over a period of seven months (b) (6) and I built a strong friendship. (b) (6) eventually came to me and told me about (b) (6) affair with (b) (6) and asked for my advice on what (b) (6) should do. (b) (6) I gave (b) (6) my advice and told (b) (6) needed to do what (b) (6) thought was best for (b) (6). (b) (6) and I would talk often as our friendship grew.

On Sunday September 28, 2008 I received a threatening text message from (b) (6). (b) (6) told me to stay away from (b) (6) and called me horrifying names. I replied back and explained that (b) (6) and I were good friends and apologized for the way (b) (6) felt about that.

October 10, 2008 (b) (6) sent me seven text messages, I didn't reply to none of them. The first one came in at 10:30 pm and I received the last one at 1:27 am. It was the same text message threatening me about my friendship with (b) (6).

November 13, 2008 As time passed, (b) (6) and I continued to talk. I could see that (b) (6) wanted more than anything to work things out with (b) (6) but (b) (6) stated to me that (b) (6) wouldn't listen to (b) (6) feelings and didn't care about the way (b) (6) felt about their marriage. On November 12, 2008 (b) (6) called me around 6:30 pm. (b) (6) and (b) (6) had a fight and (b) (6) wanted to talk. I had made plans with mutual friends from work and told (b) (6) could meet us. We talked for hours and at the end of the night they were not safe to drive home so I told them they could stay at my apartment. On November 13, 2008, mid afternoon, (b) (6) started pounding on my door. When (b) (6) answered the door, (b) (6) accused (b) (6) and I of sleeping together

and having an affair. (b) (6) told (b) (6) to calm down and as (b) (6) went to get (b) (6) keys and wallet, (b) (6) kicked my door in. (b) (6) finally got (b) (6) outside; (b) (6) started beating on my car. As (b) (6) tried to hold (b) (6) back, (b) (6) started hitting (b) (6). (b) (6) called me after they left at 2:30 pm and threatened to get me fired from my job. I didn't answer my phone; (b) (6) left me a vulgar voicemail.

November 14, 2008 (b) (6) came into (b) (6) and threatened me to find a new job because (b) (6) was going to get me fired. I was called into (b) (6) office and I admitted (b) (6) that I had feelings for (b) (6) but I respected their marriage and I was simple being a friend to (b) (6). (b) (6) told me (b) (6) appreciated me being honest with (b) (6), and told me (b) (6) would stand by my side. (b) (6) advised me to stay away from (b) (6) and I respected that.

November 22, 2008 At 9:15 pm (b) (6) texted me a picture of (b) (6) and told me "this is what you are screwing with a poor child I hope you are completely disgusted with yourself." (I still have the text picture and comment on my phone). At 9:42 pm (b) (6) texted me another vulgar text message. At 10:10 pm (b) (6) texted me again. (b) (6) continued to blame me for (b) (6) and (b) (6) failed marriage. It was upsetting but I had known how much (b) (6) tried to reach out to (b) (6) while (b) (6) was having an affair with (b) (6) (b) (6). I knew I was not the one to blame.

November 23, 2008 (b) (6) texted me another picture of (b) (6) (b) (6) said to me "this is what you are destroying".

November 25, 2008 (b) (6) texted me "U WHORE." I have all these picture text messages and comments saved on my phone from (b) (6) Later that day at 7:37 pm (b) (6) sent me another picture text of (b) (6) At 11:48 pm (b) (6) texted me telling me of how many lives I had ruined.

November 26, 2008 (b) (6) texted me again harassing me at 8:48 am.

November 27, 2008 At 3:14 pm I received a text message from (b) (6) cell phone- "I need 2 end w u. I want my (b) (6) don't contact me please." I talked to (b) (6) about this and (b) (6) said that (b) (6) was the one that sent it to me.

December 1, 2008 I received an email from (b) (6) on my RCAS and a text message from (b) (6) stating "I will not come 2 dpw 2 c u. BUT if there is any contact between u and (b) (6).....you better tell me...these are ur last chances. For this."

December 3, 2008 (b) (6) and I were called into (b) (6) office. (b) (6) said there were RCAS account emails that were turned in between (b) (6) and I and that one of us was getting fired. He asked (b) (6) to leave and (b) (6) told me that I was no longer a part of (b) (6). I tried to explain my honesty from the beginning with (b) (6) but (b) (6) told me by looking at the emails, I was the instigator in (b) (6) and my relationship. I didn't ask to see those emails, but I knew there was something more to the story. (b) (6) and I were served that day with a Military Protection Order (MPO). I was moved to HR to work for (b) (6). After leaving (b) (6) office, I had to report to (b) (6) office at housing. As I was leaving, (b) (6) advised me to get a restraining order on (b) (6) because (b) (6) was parked outside the housing office. (b) (6) was aware of this and took care of the situation.

December 12, 2008 I was called to the MP station at Camp Atterbury and served with a restraining order against (b) (6). (b) (6) also added (b) (6) and (b) (6) on the restraining order. (b) (6) restraining order was full of lies, stating that I called and texted (b) (6) numerous time and threatened to take (b) (6) from (b) (6). It was ridiculous.

December 16, 2008 (b) (6) came into HR to find me, (b) (6) stopped (b) (6) at the door and told (b) (6) I was not there, meanwhile (b) (6) came back to my office and told me (b) (6) was there and I was not to leave my office. I filed a restraining order that day against (b) (6) with Johnson County Court.

February 1, 2009 I was home all day studying for a math exam that I had the following day, I ordered Chinese carry out for dinner. I left my apartment at 6:15pm to go pick up my order. (I lived at (b) (6)). As I was pulling out of my apartment complex I noticed a Gold Jeep Cherokee sitting on the side of the road, as I passed I realized it was (b) (6). I drove over the interstate (about ¼ of a mile) and noticed that something was wrong with my car. The Chinese restaurant was in the Kroger shopping center right off the exit. As I pulled in, I realized my tire was flat. I called Geico roadside assistants and continued to wait for them to get there. About 20 minutes after I arrived in the parking lot. I noticed (b) (6) driving by. Although it was dark out I knew it was (b) (6) because of (b) (6) license plate (b) (6). (b) (6) continued to circle me for about 20 – 25 minutes. The minute I realized it was (b) (6), I called the police department. I explained (b) (6) and my situation and they said they

would send someone right out. When the roadside assistant guy got there I asked him if my tire had been slashed and he said I had hit a pot hole. When the police arrived, they told me that he couldn't do anything about (b) (6) circling me because my tire wasn't slashed. On February 5<sup>th</sup> 2008, I took my car Tobias Tire in Edinburgh, IN. I told them that I had hit a pot hole and needed a new tire. They took my tire off and checked it, told me my tires had been slashed, the air was coming from a knife hole. I immediately got on the phone with Marion County and filed a police report about the incident.

February 6, 2009 When I got to work on February 6, 2008, I turned all my documents (including police report) over to Camp Atterbury, (b) (6) to let them know what this civilian working at Camp Atterbury was doing to a Soldier, they did nothing. Nothing happened to (b) (6)

February 9, 2009 I got home from class on February 9, 2008 and checked my yahoo email account. I had an email from (b) (6). When I opened it, it said- "I slept with my (b) (6) Saturday while (b) (6) was sleeping....." I knew (b) (6) was not the one who sent me that email. Besides, the email account I used to email (b) (6) at was (b) (6)." (Notice the extra 's' in the one that was sent to me. I knew (b) (6) sent it to me; I also turned it over to (b) (6) and let (b) (6) know about it.

February 13, 2009 At approximately 9:57 am on February 13, 2009 I was at work at Camp Atterbury, HR and I received the same email that was sent to me on February 9, 2009. I was furious, not only was (b) (6) harassing me under a restraining order from the court at home, (b) (6) was on my RCAS account. I took the email to (b) (6) I let (b) (6) know.

March 5, 2009 I left for work at 6:15 AM and noticed I had two flat tires. Both slashed tires were on the passenger side. A police report was taken from Marion County Police department. I took my car into Taylorsville Tires to get my tires replaced. I have a statement, along with a bill stating that my tires had been slashed. Once again I took that info into Camp Atterbury and nothing was done.

April 14, 2009 I left for work around 6:30 AM, I had 3 slashed tires. I called the police. A police report was taken. I had my car towed to a nearby Wal-mart and

they verified that my tires were slashed. I took all documentation to Camp Atterbury, nothing was done or said to (b) (6).

October 24, 2009 I went to (b) (6) for the weekend, (b) (6) at 12:00am, I received a text message from (b) (6) It was a text that (b) (6) sent to (b) (6) and then sent to me. I received another one at 12:02 am. I didn't respond to her text messages.

October 25, 2009 (b) (6) text me again at 8:40am and again at 8:41 am. I never responded to (b) (6) text messages. When I got back in town I filed a restraining order against (b) (6).

January 3, 2010 I was served with a 40 page restraining order. It was full of lies

.....  
(b) (6) has continued to harass me since day one. In March, 2009 I was asked to go to (b) (6) at Camp Atterbury because of the harassment and the things (b) (6) has done to me. I came into work and broke down to (b) (6) and (b) (6) took me. I can't believe that Camp Atterbury would let (b) (6) continue to do these things to people and get away with it.

In March, I was told that I had to start drilling with my unit. When I came on orders I belonged to the 433<sup>rd</sup>. The 433<sup>rd</sup> deactivated, therefore I had to find a unit to transfer to. (b) (6) helped me find a slot in ISU. (b) (6) would not let me have that slot because (b) (6) didn't want to upset (b) (6) by helping me out. I overheard a conversation between (b) (6) and (b) (6) and (b) (6) stated that (b) (6) couldn't let me have that slot and I asked (b) (6) why, (b) (6) told me it was because (b) (6) didn't want to piss (b) (6) off. Once again, military personnel were looking out for a civilian rather than taking care of their Soldiers. This is why I quit working at Camp Atterbury and why I have such negative thoughts for that instillation.

January 10, 2010

**(b) (6) Recollection of Events Regarding (b) (6)**

- March of '07 I moved in with my then (b) (6) and became the (b) (6) of (b) (6). (b) (6) was in Iraq.
- Summer of '07 Became friends with (b) (6) and (b) (6) would play with my (b) (6). (b) (6) would also take all of us swimming at Camp Atterbury. I also helped (b) (6) with (b) (6) yard work since (b) (6) was gone.
- August of '07 Met (b) (6)
- Aug. – Sept. '07 Started hearing from (b) (6) that (b) (6) was having problems with a co-worker because the co-worker alleged that (b) (6) was having an affair while (b) (6) was in Iraq. (b) (6) denied the claim. I had no knowledge of the situation.
- Aug. '07 – Dec. '07 Started getting to know (b) (6). (b) (6) just got home and spent most of (b) (6) time with (b) (6). (b) (6) and I talked occasionally.
- Spring of '08 As the weather started warming up, (b) (6) and I spent more time hanging outside together talking while we drank a few beers. This is when (b) (6) first started telling me about an affair that (b) (6) thought (b) (6) had when (b) (6) was in Iraq. This is also the first time that I ever heard the name (b) (6)
- Summer of '08 (b) (6) and I were pretty good friends at this point. (b) (6) was pretty certain that (b) (6) had an affair with (b) (6) while (b) (6) was gone. Only now, (b) (6) gave me more specific details (texts, pictures on computer, and pictures on cell phone). (b) (6) had a military cell phone that was completely off limits to (b) (6) as well. (b) (6) was not home much in the evenings during the week while (b) (6) cared for (b) (6). (b) (6) claimed (b) (6) was always out shopping and doing aerobics. In no way shape or form did I believe that story! (b) (6) was the primary care giver.
- Sept. – Dec. '08 (b) (6) and I spent many nights out by the bonfire drinking beer and talking. (b) (6) informed me that (b) (6) marriage was definitely on the rocks, and that (b) (6) was contemplating moving out.
- December of '08 (b) (6) moved out. I continued to talk with (b) (6) and help (b) (6) if I could, but all (b) (6) wanted to do was blame (b) (6) and (b) (6) for (b) (6) crumbling marriage. (b) (6) made it known to me that (b) (6) was going to make life miserable for (b) (6). (b) (6) never confessed to me about a physical relationship with (b) (6) but (b) (6) did confess to a "mental affair" with (b) (6). Based on what I all ready knew, (b) (6) was lying!
- Jan. – Feb. '09 After (b) (6) moved out, I saw many guys come and go at (b) (6) house. Some of these guys had on military clothes, and some didn't.

February 1, 2009

- 1) The house that (b) (6) lives in was broken into while (b) (6) took (b) (6) up north to visit (b) (6). (b) (6) called the police and filed a report. (b) (6) positively identified (b) (6) on the report to (b) (6) of the Putnam County Sheriffs dept. I have a copy of the call report.
- 2) (b) (6) had a tire slashed on her vehicle and filed a report with the Marion County Sheriffs dept. (b) (6) was seen outside (b) (6) apt. complex, and was then circling (b) (6) in the Kroger parking lot where (b) (6) had to pull over for a flat tire. I have a copy of the police report.

The two people that (b) (6) blames for (b) (6) separation were both the victims of criminal activity on the exact same day when (b) (6) knew that (b) (6) was out of town with (b) (6). (b) (6) was listed on both reports, and the police were notified in both cases.

February of '09

(b) (6) received multiple death threats on (b) (6) military cell phone via text messages. **Important:** These messages were sent to (b) (6) phone from (b) (6) phone. (b) (6) could not reply to these messages. This means that someone was in (b) (6) military Verizon account, and sent the messages from a desk top computer. Because it was a military phone, only someone who works in the IT department would have had access to his military Verizon account. This is the first time that I heard the name (b) (6) (spelling?) from the IT Dept. Also, the text messages were turned over to the Johnson County Sheriff's Dept. I believe they interviewed (b) (6) on base at Atterbury, and I don't know what happened from there. I have printouts of two of these messages.

Summer of '09

(b) (6) was not home much at all, and (b) (6) would not tell (b) (6) where (b) (6) and (b) (6) were spending most of their nights. (b) (6) let (b) (6) know about (b) (6) new (b) (6) and spoke of (b) (6) (spelling). Because I am the (b) (6) of (b) (6) I was 100% certain that they had another place that they spent the majority of their nights. Because of the divorce, I kept a log sheet as to when (b) (6) stayed the night in (b) (6) own house. This was used as evidence, and I testified under oath about it. The following is a list of a few things that I was informed of over the summer:

- 1) (b) (6) had a (b) (6) named (b) (6), and they stayed at (b) (6) because (b) (6) was divorcing (b) (6) and (b) (6) stayed there.
- 2) (b) (6) was seen driving (b) (6) car at the county fair
- 3) (b) (6) was bragging at the military party that (b) (6) was (b) (6)
- 4) (b) (6) babysat for (b) (6) and (b) (6) at the same time.
- 5) (b) (6) missed a substantial amount of work and was supposed to be fired, but (b) (6) had some sort of relationship with (b) (6) (b) (6) unsure of spelling), so (b) (6) was afraid to fire (b) (6). (b) (6) stepped in and got (b) (6) a promotion, roughly doubled (b) (6) pay, and protected (b) (6). I heard an IG complaint was filed because (b) (6) put (b) (6) in a position (b) (6) wasn't qualified for.

Fall of '09

(b) (6) was fired from the military for taking two FEMA microwaves. (b) (6) Knowingly and willingly was in possession of stolen military property for approximately a year and threatened (b) (6) that (b) (6) was going to turn it in if (b) (6)

didn't sign over (b) (6) Jeep. (b) (6) didn't sign the Jeep over, (b) (6) turned in the microwave after (b) (6) had it for about a year (and lied and said (b) (6) didn't know where it came from), and (b) (6) was fired. Was (b) (6) still protecting (b) (6)? Nothing happened to (b) (6) because (b) (6) said that (b) (6) didn't know where (b) (6) got the microwave. Let's pretend for a second that we all have some common sense! How many (b) (6) can come home with an appliance and not have a discussion with (b) (6) about where it came from, how much, what the occasion is, and so on, and so forth? Someone protected (b) (6) on this one, while people around (b) (6) were being fired for FEMA related issues!

- October 16, 2009 I filed a protective order against (b) (6) on the advice of the police. This was an attempt to get (b) (6) to leave me alone and quit yelling at me while I was on my property. By this time, it was very evident that (b) (6) had it in for the people closest to (b) (6)
- October 29, 2009 (b) (6) filed a protective order on me because I filed one on (b) (6). Also, it took (b) (6) some time to make up so much stupid bullshit. In the paperwork, (b) (6) mentioned that (b) (6) had copies of (b) (6) voicemail and was wanting to try and use them against me in court.
- November 6, 2009 I filed using "Request for Documents" with the Johnson County court house, and with (b) (6) to obtain a copy of the voicemails.
- November 25, 2009 (b) (6) sent me the disc that I requested.
- Early Dec. '09 I contacted (b) (6) and (b) (6) to see if they were interested in a copy of this disc because I felt that there may have been a breach of security and/or policy and procedure.
- December 10, 2009 Court date with (b) (6) in Johnson County. Judge issued protective orders against (b) (6) and against me. I was not allowed to call any of my eight witnesses in court and prove all of (b) (6) lies. No witnesses were called on either side. The Judge talked to both of us, and made (b) (6) suggestion. (b) (6) did not have to testify as to how (b) (6) obtained the voicemails either.
- December 14, 2009 Sent copies of the discs to (b) (6) and (b) (6)
- December 21, 2009 Talked with (b) (6) to see if (b) (6) indeed got the disc. (b) (6) confirmed that (b) (6) did. At this time, I was under the impression that (b) (6) was not notified of any investigations regarding the voicemails.
- December 22, 2009 (b) (6) got home and (b) (6) was right behind (b) (6) in (b) (6) blue Chevy Trailblazer. (b) (6) pulled in (b) (6) driveway and parked on the side where (b) (6) used to park. (b) (6) backed (b) (6) vehicle up to the garage door. This is very uncharacteristic because (b) (6) always parks (b) (6) car in the garage (it's a one car garage). (b) (6) had a cook in at work and I wanted to go outside because I was going to help (b) (6) unload (b) (6) vehicle when (b) (6) got home, but I did not want to go outside because (b) (6) was loading up stuff in the back of (b) (6) car. This seemed very

unusual because this is the first time that I had seen (b) (6) car over there since the beginning of the year when many guys were going over to (b) (6) house, and the only possible thing that I could think of that (b) (6) could be loading up was computer equipment (maybe they had been tipped off). Not to mention, I just turned in a disc about a possible computer breach, and all of the sudden, (b) (6) shows up and is loading stuff up in the back of (b) (6) car. I knew for sure that (b) (6) had to of had an accomplice to obtain those voicemails because (b) (6) is simply not very smart. (b) (6) was the connection. I called (b) (6) phone at approximately 6:40pm and left a message about a possible cover up. Previously, I had also left messages for (b) (6) and (b) (6) asking if they wanted copies of the disc, and got no reply, but by this time, I felt that (b) (6) and (b) (6) knew something was up.

Last couple of weeks! (b) (6) still spends the night next door periodically. As a matter of fact, (b) (6) just left about a half hour ago. I now know that the blue Chevy Trailblazer that I saw at the beginning of the year next door is the same person that is hanging around over there now driving a vehicle with the same description because (b) (6) is right by (b) (6) side when (b) (6) and (b) (6) exchange (b) (6) for visitation.

Food for Thought! The name (b) (6) was first presented to me at the beginning of the year when someone was in (b) (6) military Verizon account. Who has access to the military cell phone accounts, and Does (b) (6) fall into that category? The next time I saw the blue Chevy Trailblazer, there is an issue with the military Verizon cell phone account, and someone who obtained voicemails out of the account. One thing is for certain, (b) (6) had to of had help getting the necessary information from the IT dept. to pull this off! As for (b) (6) I never witnessed anything in person except that I know for sure in the summer months, (b) (6) was spending most of (b) (6) nights at another house, and (b) (6) house was dark and vacant. This is the time frame when I had heard that (b) (6) was seeing a (b) (6) At the beginning of the year when guys came and went next door, I can't say for sure is one of them was (b) (6) or not, because I don't know what (b) (6) looks like.

Final Thoughts! Many nights I have lost sleep over the information that I know. I know where the death threats were sent from, and the probable people behind them. I know of (b) (6) relationship with a (b) (6) and with a member of the IT dept. I can tell you this for certain – (b) (6) is my (b) (6) and at times, I am afraid for my families well being. (b) (6) is the most vindictive and manipulative person I have ever met. You don't even have a shot at getting the truth from (b) (6)! (b) (6) continues to try and get (b) (6) back, which isn't going to happen. (b) (6) is going to use every means (your personnel, your computer systems, and your paycheck) to try and take down the people closest to (b) (6) and I am not sure how far (b) (6) will take it. Because of this, (b) (6) and me have personally asked the Prince's Lakes Police Dept. for additional security out here. (b) (6) is on your watch! If something happens to me or my family, my friends and family know where to start their search!

**SUBJECT:** Timeline of events that I have been either involved with or witness to with (b) (6)

(b) (6)

This timeline is my statement of events that have happened between (b) (6) and I over the time period from August 2007 to present time (January 2010) and is correct to the best of my knowledge. I would also like to mention that after receiving your request to present this timeline I asked my friend (b) (6) and (b) (6) to also write their own timelines of things that have transpired over of the last year regarding events they have been involved with concerning (b) (6). The reason being is that I am not the only one that has been a victim of (b) (6) manipulations and illegal actions.

(mid August 2007) – I had just returned from Iraq. (b) (6) was showing little interest in being around me, which was a little concerning since I had been gone for over a year. Prior to returning home I had sensed some distance in (b) (6) over phone conversations we had about three months prior to my return. I had heard some rumors once I had gotten back. The rumors were that (b) (6) was sleeping with (b) (6).

(Between the beginning of Sept '07 to Dec '07) – (b) (6) had spent a lot of time away from the house working extremely late. At the time (b) (6) worked at (b) (6) as the (b) (6). One night after walking through our bedroom after (b) (6) had fallen asleep (approx. 2330 hrs) I noticed a text message coming through on (b) (6) personal cell phone (b) (6) phone number at that time was (b) (6) Verizon cell phone). With my already rising suspicions I looked at the text message, it was a message from a number (b) (6) that I did not recognize. The message said, “I was locked out of the room”. This increased my suspicions about whether or not (b) (6) was having an affair. The next day I called that number from a work phone and the person answered the phone “this is (b) (6)”. From that point on our relationship was to say the least rocky. A couple weeks later (b) (6) was again working extremely late. So I called (b) (6) work phone and (b) (6) did not answer. I called several times. I finally called (b) (6) personal cell phone and found out that (b) (6) was at (b) (6). She was there to meet (b) (6). I found out from (b) (6) that (b) (6) was staying there and had a room there because (b) (6) was going through some training for his upcoming deployment in December 2007.

During this same time period I had went to a Passions Party with (b) (6) to (b) (6) house. I spent most of my time with (b) (6) because (b) (6) and I knew each other pretty well. At one point I was sitting by (b) (6) who was sitting next to (b) (6) I noticed that (b) (6) was texting someone. Shortly after I noticed (b) (6) texting (b) (6) got up and went to the bathroom. Approximately 45 min later (b) (6) had not returned. I went to the bathroom and knocked on the door and there was no answer. I opened the door and seen (b) (6) sitting in the next room. (b) (6) saw me and then sprung up as if (b) (6) was surprised and threw her phone into a basket sitting by the door. I reached to pick up (b) (6) phone and seen the same strange number show up that I had noticed back in Sept which was (b) (6). (b) (6) later admitted to texting (b) (6) but claimed that they were only friends.

(Dec '07 to July '08) At this point I was convinced there was a relationship between (b) (6) and (b) (6). I finally confronted (b) (6) about the relationship and (b) (6) of course denied it. I told (b) (6) that I wanted (b) (6) to end it with (b) (6) or I was leaving. Through the months following Dec '07 (b) (6) had started calming down with (b) (6) attitude towards our relationship and almost seemed to be getting better but not much. We tried counseling but didn't help. We had taken (b) (6) to Disney World, which was pretty cool. There still seemed to be some peculiar phone calls and things (b) (6) was hiding. I consulted (b) (6) and good friend (b) (6) about many of these situations and continued to talk with (b) (6) about things from early summer to present day. At one point during the early summer I went with (b) (6) to one of (b) (6) business trips to Washington D.C. While there (b) (6) let me use (b) (6) laptop computer. In there I had found a picture folder labeled (b) (6). With my lingering suspicions from the past year I opened up the folder and found several pictures of (b) (6) in Iraq and a picture of a hotel bed.

(May '08 to Oct '08) With my growing discuss over (b) (6) relationship with (b) (6) even after (b) (6) was gone I grew further apart from (b) (6). As a lot of relationships can sometimes go I started looking elsewhere for companionship. There was a (b) (6) that I had meet at work ((b) (6)). (b) (6) name is (b) (6). (b) (6) and I began our friendship approximately May '08 and it grew until Sept '08. (b) (6) picked my phone one morning around Mid Sept and found messages from (b) (6) and I. (b) (6) immediately tried to kick me out of the house. (b) (6) and my relationship of course got even worse from there on out.

(late Nov '08) (b) (6) broke into my e-mail account ((b) (6)) and found more communication between (b) (6) and I. These e-mails were evidence of an emotional relationship between (b) (6) and I, which made (b) (6) furious.

(late Nov '08 to beg Dec '08) I had saw on (b) (6) blackberry where (b) (6) had forwarded these emails (b) (6) obtained from my yahoo account and forwarded them to (b) (6) work e-mail. Within the next couple weeks both (b) (6) and I were called into (b) (6) office regarding an irregular relationship between (b) (6) and I. (b) (6) told me that (b) (6) wanted both (b) (6) and I fired. This later resulted in (b) (6) getting moved to HR at (b) (6) and I stayed at (b) (6) but both (b) (6) and I had a No Contact Order placed on us. To me I couldn't believe that even with the relationship that (b) (6) had with (b) (6) that (b) (6) would even consider doing this to (b) (6) or myself. This no contact order was set for one year or when my divorce with (b) (6) was final which ever came first. (Note: The No Contact Order one year deadline was the 3<sup>rd</sup> of December 2009...my divorce ended and finalized on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of October 2009. So on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of October 2009 the No Contact Order between (b) (6) and I was over). So, I pretty much ended my part of the relationship and asked (b) (6) for a divorce. I moved out of my house ((b) (6)) (b) (6) approximately mid December. I moved into a house that (b) (6) and (b) (6) had available in (b) (6). This house is on the same property that (b) (6) live on and they no longer lived in because they had built another house further back on the property.

(Between December 15 to Dec 17...not sure on the exact date) – (b) (6) was on (b) (6) way to Michigan for Christmas and had (b) (6) with (b) (6) (b) (6). I was at this time living in (b) (6) at a house on (b) (6). About mid day (b) (6) showed up un-announced. (b) (6) argued with me because (b) (6) thought that (b) (6) was at the house with me, which (b) (6) wasn't. With (b) (6) in the car (b) (6) then pulled out of the driveway and parked at the end of the driveway sitting on the road. (b) (6) called the cops claiming that (b) (6) was not supposed to be there and (b) (6) wanted me arrested due to a protective order that (b) (6) filed against (b) (6). On this protective order (b) (6) without my knowledge and consent, put my name on it. The Putnam Co. Sherriff showed up to the house to ask questions but ultimately resulted in him asking (b) (6) to leave. The most disturbing thing about this to me is that (b) (6) did this with (b) (6) in the car the entire time.

(Dec '08 to late Feb '09) I had fully moved into the house at (b) (6). I started to look up lawyers and found one through reference by (b) (6). (b) (6) filed for the divorce in Dec '08. I got my lawyer in Jan '09. I had started keeping a log of times that (b) (6) would let me see (b) (6) and when (b) (6) would let me talk to (b) (6). The reason for this is because (b) (6) would rarely let me talk to (b) (6) or see (b) (6). I kept this log the calendar on my yahoo account (b) (6). One day I logged into the account only to find that my calendar had been wiped clean. I had approximately a month worth of evidence against (b) (6) which I was going to use for custody of (b) (6) in a final divorce hearing. I had also created an MSN e-mail account to forward text messages to from (b) (6), which had been sent to my work cell phone (b) (6). Around the same time frame that I found my yahoo account was broken into I opened my MSN account and found that over 300 test messages had been erased. On both account (MSN and Yahoo) I reported these break-ins on their complaint site. After finding out about each event I immediately canceled these e-mail accounts. I have these recorded on an e-mail archive that can be retrieved upon request. I confronted (b) (6) on this but (b) (6) denied breaking into my accounts. Once I made my own determination that (b) (6) broke into these accounts I started recording things that transpired regarding the divorce and (b) (6) on a large calendar that I had hung in the hallway of my house in (b) (6). I had also kept receipts of grocery bills and utility bills that I was going to use for proof of expenses in our divorce case. On Feb 1<sup>st</sup> (b) (6) strangely agreed to let me have (b) (6) for a few hours. This was out of the blue to me because previously (b) (6) had resisted every other attempt I made to get (b) (6). I drove up to (b) (6) for a few hours. While I was up there I got a call from (b) (6). He stated that the police were at the house and I thought I needed to come back down to (b) (6) right a way. (b) (6) stated that (b) (6) and (b) (6) had called the Police because they say (b) (6) breaking into the house and the police needed me there to tell them if anything was missing. Since I had (b) (6) for the first time since I moved out I decided to stay up north until I had to get (b) (6) back and also where I was at was approx 2 hrs away and I could not have made it down there in a reasonable amount of time. I dropped (b) (6) (b) (6) off to (b) (6) at the Beach Grove/Emerson Ave. exit off of 465, Indianapolis in the early afternoon on the 1<sup>st</sup> of Feb. After I got back home later that evening I immediately took a quick look through the house. I noticed that the calendar that I had hanging in the

hallway, the receipts that were sitting on the counter and a key to my old truck were missing. These items would have been particularly interesting to (b) (6) since they were items I was going to use against (b) (6) in court. All except the truck key. This key was to the first truck I had owned and I really don't know why (b) (6) took it.

Around the February time frame, I had received four text messages to my government issued phone ((b) (6)). Each text message was threatening in nature to either (b) (6) or me. I turned these messages into the Johnson Co. Sheriffs Dept to and investigator Bartlet. Each message that was sent to my phone was made to look like it came from my phone. But I couldn't reply to it. I told (b) (6) that I believe that (b) (6) was responsible for these or (b) (6) had someone help (b) (6). The reason being is that one message stated that (b) (6) was sent into graveyard and was coming for me soon. This was especially strange since (b) (6) had called me that morning and said that (b) (6) slid off the road by the grave yard on the way to drop (b) (6) off to the babysitter's. This was the second message of four that I had gotten and at that point was convinced that it was either (b) (6) or someone who was helping (b) (6). The Jo. Co. Sherriff's Dept IT specialist couldn't even figure out how the messages were sent. (b) (6) looked into it further but I do not know what the results were. After that over the next couple weeks I received two more messages but then they stopped. I went to Verizon Wireless to ask them how these messages could have been sent and if I could retrieve the sender of these messages. They told me that the only way the messages could have been sent is from a computer or by someone who had access to my Verizon account. When I turned my government phone in I forwarded these messages to my friend (b) (6) so that I could save them for reference and so that (b) (6) could forward them back to me when I got a new phone.

(February 09) I was told by a friend ((b) (6)) from work (b) (6) had heard that (b) (6) and (b) (6) had been out on a couple dates in the January time frame. This was very interesting to me since (b) (6) works in the IT Department for Stout Field. It made a near connection to me as to how these messages might have been sent to my phone but I had no way of proving it.

(From February 09 to April 09)

(b) (6) had been missing work a lot, almost three months worth.  
-I finally started getting (b) (6) on a regular basis after (b) (6) and I had our preliminary divorce hearing. Several times while I had (b) (6) on the weekends (b) (6) would tell me that (b) (6) was going to be (b) (6). She would also talk of (b) (6) and (b) (6) doing things with (b) (6) at (b) (6) house. I had heard some rumors that (b) (6) was staying at (b) (6) (not sure of spelling) house because (b) (6) was going through a separation from (b) (6). To me this put some of the pieces together that the (b) (6) that (b) (6) was talking about was (b) (6). I had also heard through rumors at work that one of (b) (6) friends (b) (6) had babysat for (b) (6) and (b) (6) on the same night. I know rumors fly in any work place but if you put some of the pieces together it just seems to add up way to much.  
(b) (6) had been missing so much work that (b) (6) was on the verge of being fired from (b) (6) state job in contracting at (b) (6). I believe after April 09 (b) (6) finally started showing

back up at work. At this time (b) (6) applied for a Federal Job at (b) (6) (b) (6) got the Job even though (b) (6) was not qualified. This was the same job I believe (b) (6) applied for while I still lived at the house and was denied because (b) (6) was not qualified (didn't have the needed college degree). Expect for this time (b) (6) got the job, which was a large increase in pay for (b) (6) I know that (b) (6) new boss on the federal side (b) (6) was not too happy about this. What I can't figure out is how does someone not show up for work for three months, not perform (b) (6) required work, and not only do they not get fired but they get promoted????????? That question has yet to be answered. But (b) (6) does know people. I had heard that an IG complaint was filed but evidently nothing came of it since (b) (6) still has the Job. Also I would like to mention that (b) (6) had several late night phone calls with (b) (6) who was (b) (6) boss while (b) (6) worked on the state side at CA. Don't know but I really never found myself talking to my boss or text messaging my boss in the middle of the night. On that note I believe that there could have been a mild relationship there. The reason for this is due to yet even more rumors I had heard that (b) (6) wouldn't fire (b) (6) in fear that (b) (6) would expose their supposed relationship. I know that (b) (6) went on a business trip to Atlanta with several other contracting people from CA and Stout Field in the time frame that I got back in Aug 07 to early 08. Again I'm not sure of the date on this. I do know that before they left I overheard (b) (6) talking to (b) (6) several times late at night but do not know what they were discussing.

(April 2009 to August 2009)

- (b) (6) was still talking about (b) (6) throughout the summer. At this point I didn't pay too much attention to it. (b) (6) can see whom (b) (6) wants, and doesn't bother me much. I asked (b) (6) if (b) (6) liked (b) (6) and (b) (6) said yes. That was my main concern. As long as it is going good for (b) (6) then all else is not a concern to me.....except for the fact that (b) (6) is close enough to a person who could have strong influence on my career and who knows what else.

- Around the fourth of July there was a contractor that worked on (b) (6) from (b) (6) who had hosted a party. Again, through rumors I heard that (b) (6) and (b) (6) showed up together and (b) (6) announced that (b) (6) was (b) (6) .... good for (b) (6) Also heard there was an investigation on it, again, not sure of the outcome.

- During the summer I was informed by my friend (b) (6) that (b) (6) was never home. Because I was getting ready to take (b) (6) back to court to modify our provisional court order for me to get possession of the house and for custody of (b) (6) I asked (b) (6) to keep track of the amount of time (b) (6) spent at the house. Since (b) (6) was able to do so. This evidence was used in our court hearing but even though it was proven, I didn't get the house or (b) (6). It was evident to me though that (b) (6) was living somewhere else.

(August 09 to October 2009)

- There was rumor that there was an investigation going on at (b) (6) regarding FEMA trailer equipment and people who had taken stuff out of the FEMA trailers.

- In approx. Oct - Nov 2008 I was involved in the FEMA trailer remodels (b) (6) was performing. We were converting these FEMA trailers into barracks to be used in the

FOBs. During this process we were gutting the FEMA trailers and throwing almost everything that was in them away. Some of these things were furniture, hot water heaters, microwaves, walls, sinks etc. At the time no guidance was given on what to do with this stuff so I grabbed one of the microwaves and a fire extinguisher and brought it to the house (at the time I was still living with (b) (6) at (b) (6) . (b) (6) was well aware of this and was supportive of the idea since we were low on money and our microwave was broke. When I moved out in Dec 08 I left those items at the house.

- (b) (6) threatened to turn this microwave and fire extinguisher in if I didn't sign over the title to (b) (6) Jeep. In our provisional court order we were not to sign absolutely anything. This happened at the chapel parking lot in CA. (b) (6) came out to my vehicle and showed me the title. I told her that I wasn't going to sign it. I started to drive off and (b) (6) grabbed my arm through the window and told me to "sign the fucking title". Well I left, and as I left (b) (6) was yelling at the top of her lungs "I'll just turn in this microwave and fire extinguisher you stole that in the back of my Jeep." (b) (6) later called me and said that (b) (6) would meet me after work to give me the fire microwave and fire extinguisher. I told (b) (6) I would think about it. I never meet (b) (6) because I didn't trust (b) (6) one bit. I told (b) (6) to either turn it in or throw it away I didn't care. (b) (6) turned it in.

- I was very shortly after that put under investigation for taking the microwave and fire extinguisher. I confessed to taking them. It really didn't bother me too much since it didn't seem like it was that big of a deal giving the circumstances when I actually took them. Either way I wasn't going to lie. The result of the investigation was that I received an Article 15 and my orders were not extended. After receiving my Article 15 paperwork, I saw (b) (6) sworn statement from when (b) (6) turned them in. In there, among a bunch of lie's (b) (6) states that (b) (6) had recorded our conversation and that's how (b) (6) could prove it. Among the lies were accusations that I was tearing apart water heaters for copper and that (b) (6) didn't agree with me bringing the microwave and fire extinguisher home. Both are lies.

(October 20 2009) Shortly after receiving my Article 15, I began the deMOB process to out process from active duty at CA. I finished deMOBing on close to the 20th of October 2009. A day or two prior to that I turned in my military Cell phone to the DOIM (IT) department at CA at which time I received back my hand receipt.

(end of October to the beginning of Nov 2009) not sure of the date. I had meet (b) (6) in Plainfield, IN right off the exit at Steak & Shake to drop off (b) (6) . This day was a Sunday, because it was the end of my weekend visitation with (b) (6) , at approximately 1800. When I dropped (b) (6) off, (b) (6) was there with (b) (6) . This was the first time that I had actually physically seen (b) (6) and (b) (6) together. This of course began to rise suspicion in my mind about some things that had been going on as previously mentioned in this letter.

(November 2009) Towards the beginning of November I had been talking to my friend (b) (6) and (b) (6) had mentioned that (b) (6) claimed (b) (6) had a VM (Voice Mail) from my military cell phone of (b) (6) and I's conversation. The reason for this is that (b) (6) filed a protective order against (b) (6) and said in (b) (6) filing that (b) (6) had VM's proving that (b) (6) and I were staking (b) (6) with threatening intent. Since this was evidence that was going to

be used against (b) (6) in court (because (b) (6) had disputed the order) (b) (6) subpoenaed these VM's. The content of this conversation was a VM that (b) (6) had left me explaining that (b) (6) had just gotten a posthole digger that (b) (6) rented. This VM was on my military cell phone (phone number (b) (6)). This particular VM was sent to my phone on the 3<sup>rd</sup> of October, prior to me turning in my phone when I deMOBed. There were four other VM's that were obtained by (b) (6):

- one was on from (b) (6) on August 30<sup>th</sup> mentioning the breaks on his Montero that I was going to fix for (b) (6). I asked (b) (6) for a copy

- another one was from (b) (6) (a (b) (6) that worked in the communications dept at CA) on September 10 2009, the message was cut off in the recording. I had worked with (b) (6) several times in my stent at (b) (6) while I worked there.

- another one was from (b) (6) on September 12 2009 stating that (b) (6) wanted to talk to (b) (6)

- the last message on the recording was on October 12<sup>th</sup>, the message was cut off.

I asked (b) (6) for a copy of these VM's for the very reason of this complaint that I am filing. I had finally had enough of (b) (6) manipulative actions and wanted it stopped. One that that I noticed when (b) (6) gave me a copy of these VM's on a CD (which is the same CD that I turned in to you...i.e. (b) (6)); I found that once I looked on the properties tab of the Real-Time file, it was created on the 27<sup>th</sup> of October 2009.

(More specifically, if you right click on the Real Time file, open up properties, and click on the summary tab; under the Description label it says "091027\_001". If you look at the top of the window after opening this summary tab it is labeled "...091027\_001-2009-10-27". To me this says that these voice mails were obtained on the 27<sup>th</sup> of October 2009, but I'm not an expert, but I also know I'm not an idiot and that just screams fraud. I turned my phone in prior to the 20<sup>th</sup> of October 2009, and (b) (6) attorney, via subpoena by (b) (6) received these VM's. So, it could only have been that (b) (6) obtained these VM's through some means. How did she get these VM's????????????????????

(December 10<sup>th</sup> 2009) I had gone to court (Johnson County Court House) as a witness to (b) (6) dispute to (b) (6) protective order against (b) (6) (b) (6) reason for disputing (b) (6) protective order was because it was full of lies. I completely concur. (b) (6) subpoenaed me and several other people that (b) (6) called out in (b) (6) order. As I was sitting on the bench waiting outside the courtroom in the Johnson County Court House, (b) (6) walked up and sat down in a beck adjacent to me. (b) (6) then walked up shortly after (b) (6) arrived. I overheard (b) (6) and (b) (6) talking and during this conversation I heard these exact words come from (b) (6) mouth (b) (6), out of (b) (6); (b) (6) said "I am not going to bring up the voice mails because I don't think it's worth risking you getting in trouble."

(December 30<sup>th</sup> 2009) I dropped (b) (6) off to (b) (6) at the gas station off the Putnumville exit (Exit 37) off of interstate 70, for (b) (6) scheduled visitation on Wednesday. When I dropped (b) (6) off (b) (6) got out of the passenger side of (b) (6) 2000 Jeep Grand Cherokee. (b) (6) was driving.

(January 1<sup>st</sup> 2009) I picked (b) (6) up on the 27<sup>th</sup> of December 2009 for my visitation. My visitation was to be for a week ending on the 3<sup>rd</sup> of January 2010. On the 1<sup>st</sup> of Jan 2010 I dropped (b) (6) off to (b) (6) at the Chase Bank parking lot off of the Countyline Rd exit off I-65. When I dropped (b) (6) off (b) (6) was sitting in the passenger side of a blue Chevy Trailblazer that (b) (6) was driving. (b) (6) was in the driver's seat. They went to Chucky Cheese's for dinner off of Countyline Rd and SR 31. When I picked (b) (6) up (b) (6) was there as well.

(January 3<sup>rd</sup> 2010) I dropped (b) (6) off to (b) (6) at the Putnumville exit (exit 37) on interstate 70, at the gas station right off of the exit. When I dropped (b) (6) off, I carried (b) (6) and put (b) (6) in (b) (6) car seat in (b) (6) Jeep. When I did, I had to move a picture of (b) (6) and (b) (6) off of (b) (6) car seat in order to set (b) (6) in (b) (6) car seat. The picture looked like it was taken at a picture booth, the ones like you would find in a mall.

(January 3<sup>rd</sup> 2010, later that evening) At this time, I have now been seeing (b) (6) since the protective order had been lifted. (b) (6) received a protective order on the 3<sup>rd</sup> of January 2010 which was rubber banded to (b) (6) apartment door in (b) (6). The protective order was filed on the 17<sup>th</sup> of December 2009. The evidence in the protective order was full of out right lies! In the protective order (b) (6) filed some e-mails that (b) (6) is using against (b) (6). These e-mails were between (b) (6) and I through our yahoo or military e-mail accounts which (b) (6) would not have had access to. Also, there is a lot of IP address verbiage and other things that aren't typically seem in normal e-mail. These e-mails were printed from (b) (6) RCAS e-mail account. I have read these e-mails and so has (b) (6). Some of the verbiage in these e-mails both (b) (6) and I believe have been altered as well as illegally obtain by (b) (6) through government computer systems. (b) (6) has wrongfully accused (b) (6) of trying to take (b) (6) away from (b) (6) which is not true.

NOTE: Please keep in mind the relevance of me stating the times that (b) (6) was present the same time (b) (6) was. (b) (6) has access and the knowledge to accomplish every single thing that I have mentioned which has anything to do with computers or technological equipment or government computer access. Them being together is very concerning to me.

(During the end of December 09 to present time) I have been informed by (b) (6) that (b) (6) has been staying at (b) (6) house (our prior marital residence in (b) (6) ) almost daily. There is an obvious relationship there. This is concerning to both (b) (6) and I. There is an extreme possibility that (b) (6) and (b) (6) are trying to break into phones, computers, and even government computer systems to try and do one of two things; gather information to try and bury people or try to gather information to cover their tracks by either altering e-mails or gaining information to hold collateral to someone who could get them in trouble.

NOTE:

- I wanted to make note that there were at least three different occasions that I can remember, but can't remember the exact date, that (b) (6) had (b) (6) help (b) (6) with her VPN account. These occasion were when (b) (6) went to Atlanta, then Chicago and

then Washington DC for business trips. On each occasion, (b) (6) baked him a pineapple up-side-down cake to show (b) (6) her appreciation for (b) (6) help. Each occasion is listed in the order to which they happened and happened somewhere between August 2007 to July 2008 time frame which is when (b) (6) made the trips  
- (b) (6) has placed a GPS tracker program on (b) (6) cell phone. (b) (6) bought this phone for (b) (6) approximately around mid 2009.

**In conclusion:** I know that a lot of this information doesn't necessarily pertain to the complaint filed (that being how (b) (6) obtained the VM's between (b) (6) and I). What I am trying to do is to paint a picture for you to explain to you what the entire situation is leading up to this complaint. There have been several occasions that (b) (6) has tried to either use someone, the military system, or the court system to try and be vindictive against people. Those people being either me or people who are closest to me. I do not personally have anything against any person mentioned in this e-mails expect (b) (6) Not as a lash against (b) (6) but it bothers me to know that (b) (6) is able to anything that (b) (6) wants to get what (b) (6) wants with the wrong intentions in mind every single time. (b) (6) has gotten me fired, gotten (b) (6) moved from (b) (6) job, filed false claims in the court system, lied to investigators, manipulated (b) (6) tried to destroy numerous people's lives, and holds dirt on people who (b) (6) involved with to get them to do what (b) (6) wants. I drew the line with the voice mails (VM's). To me this threw up a flag. If (b) (6) has access to these voice mails via whatever means (b) (6) obtained them, then what else does (b) (6) have access to? (b) (6) obviously had help in obtaining these voice mails since they came from a government cell phone that only that (b) (6) or (b) (6) had access to. I never once gave (b) (6) my password to my voice mails. I changed my voice mail password twice through the course of our separation so (b) (6) could only have illegally obtained the password to my phone through some sort of computer hacking, if that is in fact what (b) (6) did. (b) (6) has for a long time been using the dirt (b) (6) has on people as collateral against them to get what (b) (6) wants. (That could be a daylong conversation or another 20 pages added onto this letter). But in the interest of filing this complaint, those things may not be relevant. The fact that (b) (6) has been allowed to continue to work at (b) (6) given (b) (6) reputation and the things (b) (6) has done to several people at work, is disturbing to me. I'm not in the business to get (b) (6) fired but to only bring some justice to something that I think is extremely wrong. (b) (6) illegally obtained these voice mails. Illegally is the ONLY way (b) (6) could have gotten them.

Given (b) (6) past relationships; Lets say that (b) (6) pissed (b) (6) off. (b) (6) is in a position to have access to some highly classified information that (b) (6) may or may not have shared with (b) (6) during their relationship. Since it seems that their relationship has ended and (b) (6) is now with (b) (6) (b) (6) might be holding a vengeance against (b) (6) just as (b) (6) did, and does, against me. It might just be that (b) (6) feels compelled to gain access to something (b) (6) might know in order to get what (b) (6) wants out of (b) (6), whatever that may be. That might be far fetched but just consider the situation.

Me personally, I am tired of having to defend myself against (b) (6) and (b) (6) lies and (b) (6) manipulation. (b) (6) has tried to destroy my career along with several others. I have tried to talk to several people at (b) (6) about (b) (6) to get (b) (6) to stop over the last couple

of years but it is always brushed under the rug. All the way from (b) (6) going to (b) (6) about (b) (6) and I, to the FEMA trailer crap. I am tired of it and that is the reason for this complaint. (b) (6) needs to be stopped before (b) (6) destroys someone else with false accusations, or even worse, (b) (6) gets someone physically hurt. As I said before, I am tired of this. This kind of stuff has to be stopped. I talked to my friends, my chain of command, (b) (6) IG, Johnson Co. Sherriff's Dept., the court system, and now you. . This situation doesn't just involve me but several other people. My personal privacy was violated and it's hard to tell who else's may be, or has been, just as mine was.

I would like to personally thank you for your time and patients.

Thank you

Sincerely,

(b) (6)